9

SN 08/447,71:

ID:LANIER 3800

executed August 19, 1996. In filing these corroborating declarations, as requested by the Examiner, the applicant submits that the reissue declaration has been perfected. As such, the applicant requests the rejection of claims 1-16, 18-20, 22-25 and 27-32 be withdrawn.

If, however, the Examiner believes that there are any unresolved issues requiring adverse final action in any of the claims now pending in the application, it is requested that the Examiner telephone Mr. Raymond R. Moser Jr., Esq. at (908) 530-9404 so that appropriate arrangements can be made for resolving such issues as expeditiously as possible.

Respectfully submitted,

3-11-97

Raymond R. Moset Jr., Attorney

Rog. No. 34,682 (908) 530-9404

Thomason & Moser Attorneys at Law The Galleria 2-40 Bridge Avenue P.O. Box 8160 Red Bank, New Jersey 07701

Please continue sending all correspondence to:

Law and Patent Operations David Sarnoff Research Center CN 5300 Princeton, NJ 08543-5300

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING under 37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail, with sufficient postage, in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Signature harch Date of signature ٠,

10

SN 08/447,717

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s): Stewart

Case: 109152

Serial No.: 08/447,717

Piled: May 23, 1995

Group Art Unit: 2415

Bxaminer: Lug, M.

Title: ACTIVE MATRIX ELECTROLUMINESCENT DISPLAY AND METHOD OF OPERATION

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS Washington, D. C. 20231

S I R:

DECLARATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. \$ 1.175

- I, Donald S. Cohen, hereby declare as follows:
- 1. I am outside patent counsel to David Sarnoff Research Center, Inc., the assignee of the above-captioned patent application.
- 2. Under the direction of Dr. William Burke, Vice President of Patents and Licensing of David Sarnoff Research Center, Inc., I prosecuted the patent application that became U.S. patent number 5,302,966, issued April 12, 1994 (the '966 patent) which is the subject of the above-captioned reissue application. Specifically, I prepared and filed an amendment to the subject patent application on or about September 23, 1993.
- 3. Specifically, during prosecution of the original application, Dr. Burke directed me to prepare and file an amendment on or about September 23, 1993 that led to the claims reciting one specific control circuit transistor structure without claiming a broad method of attaining gray scale illumination of an electroluminescent cell and without claiming a second embodiment of the control circuit. Furthermore, I did not consult the inventor before completing prosecution of the original application. As a result of these inadvertent errors, the '966 patent is partly invalid by reason of the patentee claiming less than he had a right to claim through error that arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant.
- 4. Furthermore, I have read the Reissue Application Declaration executed by the inventor on May 18, 1995 as well as the

٠,

SN 08/447,717

Supplemental Reissue Application Declaration executed by the inventor on August 19, 1996, and hereby corroborate and affirm as correct those statements made in each of these documents to which I have knowledge.

The undersigned, bonald 8. Cohen, hereby declares that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that these statements made on information and belief are believed to be true and further that these statements were made with knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of this application or any patent resulting therefrom.

Pate 1 1997

Donald S. Cohen Registration No. 17346

Raymond R. Hoser Jr. Thomason & Moser P.O. Box 8160

Red Bank, New Jersey 07701

SN 08/447,717

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s): Stewart

Case: 10915R

Serial No.: 08/447,717

Filed: May 23, 1995

Group Art Unit: 2415

Examiner: Luu, M.

Title: ACTIVE MATRIX ELECTROLUMINESCRUT DISPLAY AND METHOD OF OPERATION

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS Washington, D. C. 20231

SIRE

DECLARATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.175

- I, Dr. William J. Burke, hereby declare as follows:
- 1. I am the Vice President of Patents and Licensing for David Sarnoff Research Center, Inc., the assignee of the above-captioned patent application.
- 2. I was responsible for preparing and prosecuting the patent application that became U.S. patent number 5,302,966, issued April 12, 1994 (the '966 patent) which is the subject of the above-captioned reissue application. I retained Donald S. Cohen as outside patent counsel to aid in the prosecution of the patent application. Mr. Cohen reported directly to me and took direction from me in prosecuting the application.
- 3. I declare that, on or about December 10, 1994, upon review of the '966 patent by the inventor and the inventor's subsequent discussion with me regarding the patent, I become aware of certain errors that were made during preparation and prosecution of the '966 patent that unduly limited the breadth of the claims of the invention. In particular, I discovered that the claims did not recite an appropriately broad method of operation nor a second embodiment of a control circuit for the electroluminescent display. To confirm my initial opinion, on or about December 15, 1994, I requested attorney, Raymond R. Moser Jr., to review the '966 patent and determine whether a reissue application should be filed regarding the '966 patent. Mr. Moser suggested that a reissue application was warranted.

SN 08/447,717

ID:LANIEREAX3800

- Upon Mr. Moser's review as well as his discussions with me and the inventor, it become apparent that I committed an inadvertent error during preparation and prosecution of the '966 patent. Specifically, during preparation of the original application, I misjudged the scope the claims could have in view of the known prior art. As a result, I instructed Mr. Cohen to only prosecute claims to one specific control circuit transistor structure without claiming a broad method of attaining gray scale illumination of an electroluminescent cell and without claiming a second embodiment of the control circuit. In short, as a result of my misjudgment regarding the scope of the invention that could be patented as well as the misjudgment of the inventor, I did not understand the scope of the invention and, this misunderstanding inadvertently led to limiting the claims to a specific control circuit structure. Furthermore, I did not consult the inventor before completing prosecution of the original application. As a result of these inadvertent errors, the '966 patent is partly invalid by reason of the patentee claiming less than he had a right to claim through error that arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant.
- 5. Furthermore, I have read the Reissue Application Declaration executed by the inventor on May 18, 1995 as well as the Supplemental Reissue Application Declaration executed by the inventor on August 19, 1996, and hereby corroborate and affirm as correct the statements made in each of these documents.

The undersigned, Dr. William J. Burke, hereby declares that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that these statements made on information and belief are believed to be true and further that these statements were made with knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of this application or any patent resulting therefrom.

March 7, 1997

Dr. William J. Burke

Raymond R. Moser Jr. Thomason & Moser P.O. Box 8160

Red Bank, New Jersey 07701

FAX:

4	

DATE POSTED CONTROL	DESCRIPTION (Serial, Patent, TM, Order)	DOCKET NO.	FEE	CHARGES		
3 3 97 07064 3 3 97 07064	08791941	1612	101	95200	CREDITS	801400
3 4 97 3111 3 4 97 31112 3 4 97 61149 3 7 97 52241 3 7 97 52241 3 7 97 52244 3 10 97 36013 3 11 97 23054 3 12 97 52196 3 12 97 52196 3 12 97 52196 3 12 97 52196 3 12 97 52199 3 12 97 52199 3 12 97 52199 3 12 97 52199 3 12 97 33013 3 18 97 34010 3 18 97 34011 3 31 97 42318 3 31 97 42475	60037055 60037056 75246128 PAYMENT PCT/US97/02216 PCT/US97/02216 PCT/US97/02216 PCT/US97/02216 PCT/US97/02216 PCT/US97/02216 75248975 08588341 P91963 PCT/US97/00606	DSRC-12234P DSRC-12459P \$37/00@ \$07/1176\$ \$43/606 360/22\$ 360/22\$	581 114 361 701 150 1801 899 153 153 899 101 153 153 153 153 153 153 153 153 153 15	4000 15000 24500 23000 59000 13200 71500 24500 24500 64500 44000 67700 180400 11000 77000 6000 2500	800000	797400 782400 767400 742900 1542900 1519900 1451900 1392900 1379700 1306700 1282200 1217700 1284700 1181700 1187700 1070000 889600 888100 877100 800100 796100 784100 781600
AN AMOUNT SUI ALL SERVICES RI ALWAYS BE ON	FFICIENT TO COVER EQUESTED MUST DEPOSIT.	OPENING BALAN 896600		915000	8000D0	CLOSING BALANCE 7816D0 S OVERDRAWN

Petition Fee



FAX:

PATENT APPLICATION

Applicant: Stewart

Case: 10915R

Serial No.: 08/447,717

Filed: May 23, 1995

Group Art Unit: 2415

Examiner: Luu, M.

ACTIVE MATRIX ELECTROLUMINESCENT DISPLAY AND Title:

METHOD OF OPERATION

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS Washington, D. C. 20231

SIR:

PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.136(a)

Applicant hereby respectfully petitions the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks to extend the time to respond to the Office Action (paper no. 8) dated November 13, 1996 for a period of 1 month from February 13, 1997 to and including March 13, 1997.

Attached hereto is a responsive amendment.

Kindly charge the entire cost of this extension of time in the amount of \$110.00, and any additional cost, as appropriate, to my deposit account number 20-0782. To facilitate that charge, a duplicate copy of this letter is enclosed herewith.

March /0 1997

Raymond R. Moser Jr., Attorney

Req. No. 34,682

Respectfully submitted,

(908) 530-9404

Thomason & Moser Attorneys at Law The Galleria - 2nd Floor ,2-40 Bridge Avenue Post Office Box 8160 Red Bank, New Jersey 07701

5

1

1

Please continue sending all correspondence to:

Law and Patent Operations
David Sarnoff Research Center
CN 5300
Princeton, NJ 08543-5300

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING under 37 C.P.R. 1.8(a)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited on March 11, 1997 with the United States Postal Service as first class mail, with sufficient postage, in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Signature

March 11 1997

Date of signature

ล

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

PATENT APPLICATION

Applicant: Stewart

Case: 10915R

Serial No.: 08/447,717

ID:LANIER 3800

Filed: May 23, 1995

Group Art Unit: 2415

Examiner: Luu, M.

Title: ACTIVE MATRIX ELECTROLUMINESCENT DISPLAY AND

METHOD OF OPERATION

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS Washington, D. C. 20231

SIR:

PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.136(a)

Applicant hereby respectfully petitions the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks to extend the time to respond to the Office Action (paper no. 8) dated November 13, 1996 for a period of 1 month from February 13, 1997 to and including March 13, 1997.

Attached hereto is a responsive amendment.

Kindly charge the entire cost of this extension of time in the amount of \$110.00, and any additional cost, as appropriate, to my deposit account number 20-0782. To facilitate that charge, a duplicate copy of this letter is enclosed herewith.

March 10 1997

Raymond R. Moser Jr., Attorney

Respectfully submitted,

Req. No. 34,682 (908) 530-9404

Thomason & Moser Attorneys at Law The Galleria - 2nd floor 2-40 Bridge Avenue Post Office Box 8160 Red Bank, New Jersey 07701

7

(

Please continue sending all correspondence to:

Law and Patent Operations
David Sarnoff Research Center
CN 5300
Princeton, NJ 08543-5300

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING under 37 C.P.R. 1.8(a)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited on March 11, 1997 with the United States Postal Service as first class mail, with sufficient postage, in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Signature

March | 1997

Date of signature

Docket No.: 507 10915 R	
Applicant: Stowart	(
Filed: 53-95	
The following has been date stamped hereupon: Specification (pags) Claims (pages) Abstract (1 page) Oath or Declaration Petition for Ext. of Time (2 caprae) Power of Attorney Claim of Priority In rest class Mail Certificate, dated 3-11-97	Priority Document Transmittal Letter (2 copies) X Deposit Acct. Transaction
This application has been assigned serial nur	X Declaration of D Coh.

07/15/97



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

DATE MAILED:

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
087447,	717	05/23/95	STEWART		R	10915R
			UGM1/0715		EXA	MINER
- Т НОМА SO	IN AND	MOSER	(Ashur Andreas		LUU, N	1
THE GAL			1		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2-40 B6 PO BOX		AVENUE.			2415	9
RED BAN		07701		DATE	AAII ED:	27 (15 (22)

NOTICE OF ABANDONMENT

Th	s application is abandoned in view of:
1.	MApplicant's failure to respond to the Office letter, mailed
2	C Applicant's letter of express abandonment which is in compilance with 57 C.1.115
3.	Applicant's failure to timely file the response received within the period set in the Office letter.
4.	☐ Applicant's failure to pay the required issue fee within the statutory period of 3 months from the mailing date of
	□ The Issue fee was received on
	☐ The Issue fee has not been received in Allowed Files Branch as of
	In accordance with 35 U.S.C. 151, and under the provisions of 37 C.F.R. 1.318(b), applicantly may petition the Commissioner to accept the delayed payment of the issue fee if the delay in payment was unavoidable. The petition must be accompanied by the issue fee, unless it has been previously submitted, in the amount specified by 37 C.F.R. 1.17 (i), and a verified showing as to the causes of the delay.
	if applicant(s) never received the Notice of Allowance, a petition for a new Notice of Allowance and withdrawal of the holding of abandonment may be appropriate in view of Delgar Inc. v. Schuyler, 172 U.S.P.Q. 513.
5.	Applicant's failure to timely correct the drawings and/or submit new or substitute formal drawings by as required in the last Office action.
	☐ The corrected and/or substitute drawings were received on
a	The respons below.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER ART UNIT 2415

PTO-1432 (REV. 6-83)